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## 2017-18 Operating Budget

We are pleased to present to you the proposed budget for the 2017-18 school year. The mission of the Alden Central School District is to encourage the development of lifelong learners who will become responsible adults. The District goals continue to include a commitment to long-range planning in the areas of curriculum development, staffing, finance, facilities management and transportation. The proposed budget and propositions will allow us to work towards achieving each of our goals.

The 2017-18 proposed budget of $\$ 34,379,776$ maintains all current programs K-12, a full assortment of High School electives and enhances the current education program. In addition, the District is increasing the services of a school resource officer to enhance safety and security, and is realigning the elementary music program to provide a $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade chorus experience. The proposed year-to-year budget increase is $\$ 468,670$ or $1.38 \%$.

Salaries are anticipated to increase by $2.5 \%$ next year. The increase in salaries and wages includes employee retirements and contractual increases based on negotiated agreements. The District is proposing adding a new microcomputer repair technician, currently purchased through BOCES. The new position will provide the District with more flexibility in servicing the educational needs of our students.

Districtwide contractual costs are increasing by $\$ 75,000$. The District is proposing to increase the services of a school resource officer from one day per week to two and a half days per week for next year. Additionally, the District is shifting certain special education costs from BOCES to private tuition and related service agencies as a result of student needs or cost savings.

Proposed equipment purchases include needed replacement of classroom technology and maintenance equipment such as floor scrubbers and carpet extractors. The budget also includes automated external defibrillators, music and band equipment and classroom equipment. The District continues to upgrade the High School Industrial Arts programs as a result of increased student interest and post graduate career opportunities. Some of the proposed equipment for this program include new MIG welders, a Tennsmith foot shear, a throatless shear and a bench punch.

Debt service costs are increasing by $\$ 203,000$ next year. This expenditure item includes principal and interest payments on the community approved capital projects. The increase for 2017-18 is related to financing Renovations 2014. The increased debt service for this project was offset by a corresponding increase in State Building Aid revenue. As previously noted, there will be no future tax impact to the community for Renovations 2014.

The employee benefits category is anticipated to increase in 2017-18 by approximately $\$ 58,000$. Health insurance costs are expected to increase by approximately $7 \%$ next year. Pension costs relating to the NYS Teachers' Retirement System (TRS) are anticipated to decrease again for 2017-18 and will help to offset the increase in health insurance. TRS rates are expected to decrease from $12 \%$ of eligible salaries this year to $10 \%$ next year. We are required by NY State to provide and pay this benefit. Additionally, unemployment costs are expected to decrease.

On the revenue side, the District anticipates an increase in State Aid revenue of approximately $\$ 462,000$ or $3.2 \%$ over the current year, $\$ 217,000$ of which is due to an increase in Building Aid. Most of the Building Aid increase is associated with the corresponding debt service expenditure increase (principal and interest payments) associated with Renovations 2014. Erie County Sales Tax revenue is expected to increase next year by approximately $\$ 75,000$. Most other revenue sources will remain relatively unchanged.

With the additional State Aid projected and the District's efforts to contain costs, there will be no tax levy increase in the proposed $2017-18$ budget. This is the third consecutive year that the District has not proposed increasing the tax levy, thus remaining the same as the approved 2014-15 budget.

Over the past several years, the District has established several reserve funds as part of a long-range financial plan to insulate us from the State budget issues, unfunded mandates, the property tax cap, etc. The need to utilize fund balance reserves is always a consideration. It is important that we use fund balance reserves conservatively to help protect us from future reductions in State Aid. Some reserve funds are limited and can only be used for specific purposes. The District's current reserve fund levels have improved our credit rating, reduced our interest payments and have helped to stabilize the tax levy over the years.

In summary, the 2017-18 expenditure budget of $\$ 34,379,776$ represents an increase over the current year of $\$ 468,670$ or $1.38 \%$. There will be no tax levy increase for the 201718 budget year.

## PROPOSITIONS ON THE BALLOT

Proposition No. 1 - Budget: The Board of Education of the Alden Central School District is seeking voter authorization to adopt the 2017-18 budget of $\$ 34,379,776$ which represents an increase of $\$ 468,670$ or $1.38 \%$ over the current school year. There will be no tax levy increase for the third year in a row.

## Proposition No. 2 - Purchase of Buses

The Board of Education of the Alden Central School District is seeking authorization to expend $\$ 541,500$ from the voter approved School Bus Reserve Fund to purchase three (3) 66-passenger school buses and three (3) 28-passenger buses for use in the transportation program.


The District's transportation department maintains a fleet of 54 buses. Our well-trained drivers ensure safe, efficient transportation of students to and from Alden Schools as well as a number of private, alternative learning centers and parochial schools. Last year, our bus fleet traveled over 538,000 miles.

The proposed bus purchase is a continuation of an on-going bus replacement program instituted by the District. Annual replacement of vehicles over 10 years old and in excess of 100,000 miles is recommended. When a bus becomes cost prohibitive to maintain, and it meets the District's criteria, it is identified for replacement. With voter approval, the District will continue on its established bus replacement schedule with the purchase of three (3) 66-passenger buses and three (3) 28-passenger buses.

Note: The cost of buses is supported by the School Bus Reserve Funds and has no impact on the tax levy.

## Proposition No. 3 - Purchase of Buildings and Grounds Equipment

The Board of Education is seeking authorization to expend $\$ 117,500$ from the voter approved Buildings and Grounds Equipment Capital Reserve Fund to purchase:

Item \#1 - One-Ton, Four-Wheel Drive Pick-Up Truck
Our pick-up trucks are utilized year round for day-to-day operations, including snow removal, which takes a toll on the vehicle. The new truck will be used for the same type of duties.

The District is seeking to purchase a new tractor with a front loader bucket to replace a similar 28-year-old vehicle. The tractor is used regularly to remove snow in the winter and for general landscaping and field maintenance during the other seasons.

Item \#3-17’ Cutting Width Turf Batwing Mower Attachment
The District is seeking authorization to purchase a 17 -foot cutting width turf batwing mower attachment. The mower will be used to maintain the grounds and athletic fields on the District property.

Note: The cost of equipment is supported by Buildings and Grounds Equipment Reserve Funds and has no impact on the tax levy.


## Proposition No. 4 - Establish a Capital Reserve Fund

The District is seeking voter authorization to establish a new reserve, Capital Reserve Fund - 2017. This fund shall be used to fund all or a portion of the costs of the acquisition, construction, reconstruction, expansion, renovation, alteration and improvement of the District's buildings, facilities, grounds and property, including the purchase of furnishings, equipment, machinery and apparatus.

Renovations 2014 was helpful in repairing our buildings and infrastructure; however, a significant amount of work still needs to be completed. The District completed a new Building Conditions Survey, which is required by law every five (5) years. The survey indicated that the District needs to make approximately $\$ 35$ million in additional repairs and upgrades for items identified with a useful life of 7 years or less. The proposed reserve will allow the District to offset the local share and tax impact of future renovations, repairs and equipment needs. This fund shall not exceed $\$ 8,000,000$.

Note: The creation of the reserve will have no impact on the tax levy.

# alden Central School District 2017-2018 Proposed Budget Projected Expenditures 

## PROGRAM COMPONENT

Program costs include the salaries and benefits of all teachers and staff delivering pupil services (i.e. health, guidance, psychological \& social services, library and athletics). Also included are textbooks, instructional materials, equipment, extracurricular student activities, BOCES program costs, and all costs of the transportation program except bus purchases.

| $\underline{\text { Item }}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}}$ | $\underline{\underline{\text { Change }}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Salaries | $\$ 13,941,501$ | $\$ 14,331,825$ | $\$ 390,324$ |
| Benefits | $\$ 6,972,082$ | $\$ 6,908,204$ | $(\$ 63,878)$ |
| Equipment | $\$ 153,785$ | $\$ 145,385$ | $(\$ 8,400)$ |
| Supplies | $\$ 832,237$ | $\$ 791,941$ | $(\$ 40,296)$ |
| Contractual | $\$ 1,472,510$ | $\$ 1,629,135$ | $\$ 156,625$ |
| BOCES | $\$ 1,778,698$ | $\$ 1,637,855$ | $\mathbf{( \$ 1 4 0 , 8 4 3 )}$ |
| Program Component Total | $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 , 1 5 0 , 8 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 , 4 4 4 , 3 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 9 3 , 5 3 2}$ |

## ADMINISTRATIVE COMPONENT

Administrative costs include the salaries and benefits of all certified staff that spend $50 \%$ or more of their time in administration, plus the salaries and benefits of clerical staff. Also included are school board costs, curriculum development, staff development, financial services, tax collection, legal and auditing costs, central printing, central data processing, BOCES administrative costs, research, planning and evaluation.

| Item | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | Change <br> $(\$ 23,838)$ <br> Salaries |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Benefits | $\$ 1,477,463$ | $\$ 1,453,625$ | $\$ 65,304$ |
| Equipment | $\$ 548,865$ | $\$ 614,169$ | $(\$ 8,300)$ |
| Supplies | $\$ 8,800$ | $\$ 500$ | $\$ 2,203$ |
| Contractual | $\$ 35,247$ | $\$ 37,450$ | $\$ 4,988$ |
| BOCES | $\$ 383,147$ | $\$ 388,135$ | $(\$ 61,369)$ |
| Administrative Component Total | $\$ 738,228$ | $\$ 676,859$ | $\mathbf{( \$ 2 1 , 0 1 2 )}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 1 9 1 , 7 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 1 7 0 , 7 3 8}$ |  |

## CAPITAL COMPONENT

Capital costs include the salaries and benefits of maintenance and custodial staff, plus debt service, utilities, general insurance, and court ordered expenses such as tax refunds.

| Item | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Salaries | $\$ 1,328,672$ | $\$ 1,377,672$ | $\$ 49,000$ |
| Benefits | $\$ 675,198$ | $\$ 732,152$ | $\$ 56,954$ |
| Equipment | $\$ 82,732$ | $\$ 46,650$ | $(\$ 36,082)$ |
| Supplies | $\$ 214,283$ | $\$ 224,000$ | $\$ 9,717$ |
| Contractual | $\$ 1,111,180$ | $\$ 1,024,916$ | $(\$ 86,264)$ |
| Debt Service | $\$ 2,056,478$ | $\$ 2,259,303$ | $\$ 202,825$ |
| Transfer to Capital | $\$ 100,000$ | $\$ 100,000$ | $\$ 0$ |
| Capital Component Total | $\mathbf{\$ 5 , 5 6 8 , 5 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 , 7 6 4 , 6 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 9 6 , 1 5 0}$ |
| Total Proposed Expenditures | $\mathbf{\$ 3 3 , 9 1 1 , 1 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 4 , 3 7 9 , 7 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 4 6 8 , 6 7 0}$ |
|  |  |  |  |

# alden Central School District 2017-2018 Proposed Budget Projected Expenditures Variances 

## BUDGET INCREASES:

## $>$ Salaries

\$415,486
Salaries are anticipated to increase by $2.5 \%$ next year. The increase in salaries and wages includes employee retirements and contractual increases based on negotiated agreements. The District is proposing adding a new microcomputer repair technician, currently purchased through BOCES. The new position will provide the District with more flexibility in servicing the education needs of our students. The budget proposal maintains all current programs K12, a full assortment of High School electives and enhances the current educational program.
> Debt Service
\$202,825
The District has issued serial bonds for all approved capital projects. The increase in the debt service category is related to financing Renovations 2014. The increased debt service for this project was offset by a corresponding increase in State Building Aid revenue. As previously stated, there will be no future tax impact to the community for Renovations 2014.
> Contractual \$75,349
The majority of the increase is attributable to two items. First, the District is planning to purchase the services of a 0.5 FTE school resource officer to enhance security. Second, the District continues to shift certain special education costs from BOCES to private tuition and related service agencies due to student needs or cost savings.
> Benefits
\$58,380
Benefits are the second largest expenditure category for the District. Health insurance costs are expected to increase by approximately $7 \%$ next year. Pension costs relating to the NYS Teachers' Retirement System (TRS) are anticipated to decrease again for 2017-18 and will help to offset the increase in health insurance. TRS rates are expected to decrease from $12 \%$ of eligible salaries this year to $10 \%$ next year. We are required by NY State to provide and pay this benefit. Additionally, unemployment costs are expected to decrease.

## Budget Decreases:

> BOCES
(\$202,212)
The decrease in BOCES services is largely due to two items. First as noted above in the salary category, the District is planning to add a microcomputer repair technician in-lieu of purchasing this service thru BOCES. Second, the District is utilizing more private tuition and service agencies due to student needs and costs. The state reimburses the District at a rate of $67 \%$ for all eligible BOCES expenses. Special education costs are not eligible for BOCES aid.
$>$ Equipment
(\$52,782)
This budget line, totaling $\$ 192,535$, includes equipment for educational programs, State aided classroom technology, security cameras and facilities maintenance. The District anticipated reducing the equipment category for the 2017-18 year based on needs.
> Supplies
$(\$ 28,376)$
Costs in this category, including fuel and utility costs, will be in-line with the current year. The District continues to look for ways to reduce costs in all departments and operational areas.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

## > Transfer to Capital (Capital Outlay Project)

A Transfer to Capital expenditure of $\$ 100,000$ is required to replace the metal framed entrance systems and exterior doors at the High School front entrance and athletic entrance. The District will receive approximately $77 \%$ of the repair cost back the following year in Building Aid revenue.

## Expenditure Breakdown by Category



## Expenditure Breakdown by Component Area



## Alden Central School District <br> 2017-2018 Proposed Budget <br> Projected Revenue

| Revenue Description | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}}$ | $\underline{\text { Change }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| State Aid | $\$ 14,309,112$ | $\$ 14,770,618$ | $\$ 461,506$ |
| County Sales Tax | $\$ 1,650,000$ | $\$ 1,725,000$ | $\$ 75,000$ |
| Local Sources | $\$ 347,390$ | $\$ 279,554$ | $(\$ 67,836)$ |
| Federal Sources | $\$ 50,000$ | $\$ 50,000$ | $\$ 0$ |
| Fund Balance - Appropriated for Taxes | $\$ 3,000,000$ | $\$ 3,000,000$ | $\$ 0$ |
| Property Tax Levy | $\$ 14,554,604$ | $\$ 14,554,604$ | $\$ 0$ |
| Total Projected Revenue | $\$ 33,911,106$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 4 , 3 7 9 , 7 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 4 6 8 , 6 7 0}$ |

## Revenue <br> Breakdown by Category



# Annual Budget Vote <br> Tuesday, May 16, 2017 <br> 6:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. <br> High School Cafeteria 

## Voter Qualifications

All voters must be United States citizens, age 18 or older on the day of the vote, and residents of the Alden Central School District for 30 days immediately prior to the voting day.

## Absentee Ballots

Voters may vote by absentee ballot, if they are unable to appear at the polling place on May 16, 2017.

To receive an absentee ballot, voters may obtain an application form from Tracy Rogers, District Clerk. The application must be returned to her at least seven (7) days before the election, if the ballot is to be mailed to the voter, or at least one (1) day before the election if the ballot is to be picked up personally by the voter at the District Office from 8:00 a.m. to $4: 00$ p.m.

Absentee ballots will be counted only if they are returned to the District Clerk by 5:00 p.m. on the day of the budget vote and election. For more information, contact Tracy Rogers at 716-937-9116, extension 4171.

## Board of Education Vote

Two (2) of the seven (7) seats on the Board of Education are up for election on May 16, 2017. Board members serve a five-year term with no pay. The candidate receiving the highest vote total shall be elected to a full five-year term to commence on July 1, 2017, and expire on June 30, 2022, and the candidate receiving the second highest vote total shall be elected to the term to commence upon election and to expire June 30, 2019. Nominating petitions for Board candidates were due by April 17, 2017.

Residents will have an opportunity to meet the candidates at the Budget Hearing on May 9, 2017, at 7:00 p.m. in the High School Library, in addition to hearing an overview of the proposed budget.

The following propositions will appear on the ballot along with the election of two (2) school board members.

## Proposition No. 1 - Budget

Authorization to adopt the 2017-18 Budget of \$34,379,776
Budget Increase 1.38\%
Projected Tax Levy Increase 0.00\%

## Proposition No. 2 - Purchase of Buses

Authorization to purchase various school buses and similar vehicles (and related equipment and supplies) for use in the transportation program of the District at an estimated maximum cost of $\$ 541,500$, with such amount to be paid by an expenditure of $\$ 541,500$ from the District's "School Bus Reserve Fund 2014," that was established by the voters of the District in May of 2014.

## Proposition No. 3 - Purchase of Buildings and Grounds Equipment

Authorization to expend $\$ 117,500$ from the capital reserve fund of the District known as the "Buildings and Grounds Equipment Capital Reserve Fund (2010)" for purposes of purchasing the following items of equipment (or their substantial equivalents) for use in the buildings and grounds maintenance program of the District: (a) a one-ton four-wheel drive pick-up truck, (b) a four-wheel drive 65 horsepower tractor with a front loader bucket and (c) a 17 foot cutting width turf batwing mower attachment.

## Proposition No. 4 - Establish Capital Reserve Fund

Authorization to establish a capital improvements reserve fund pursuant to Section 3651 of the Education Law of the State of New York (the "Fund"); that the Fund shall be known as the "Capital Improvements Reserve Fund, 2017" of the District; that the Fund shall be established for the purpose of financing, in whole or in part, the acquisition, construction, reconstruction, expansion, renovation, alteration and improvement of buildings, facilities, sites and real property by the District, or the District's share of the cost of any capital improvements project undertaken by a Board of Cooperative Educational Services ("BOCES") of which the District is or may be a component district including, in all cases, the acquisition of necessary furnishings, equipment, machinery and apparatus; that the ultimate amount of such Fund shall be not greater than $\$ 8,000,000$ (plus interest earned thereon); that the probable term of such Fund shall be not longer than fifteen (15) years; and that the permissible sources from which the Board is authorized to appropriate monies to such Fund from time to time shall be (a) unappropriated fund balance of the District, (b) State aid received as reimbursement for expenditures by the District in connection with District capital improvements or a BOCES project (whether or not financed in whole or in part from the Fund), (c) the proceeds from the sale of unneeded District real or personal property and (d) such other sources as the Board or the voters of the District may direct from time to time, all as may be permitted by law.

Note: Some data elements of the Property Tax Report Card have been revised or renamed to more closely follow the Property Tax Cap calculations districts complete on the Office of the State Comptroller website. Please see the Help text above for definitions. Additional guidance on the Property Tax Levy Limit is available on the Office of Educational Management Services website:
http://www.p12.nysed. gov/mgtserv/propertytax/taxcap/.
Please also submit an electronic version (PDF or Word) of your school district's 2017-18 Budget Notice to: emscmgts@nysed.gov. This will enable us to help correct any formula or data entry discrepancy quickly.

Form Due - April 24, 2017

Form Preparer Name:
Preparer's Telephone Number:

| PAUL KARPIK |
| :--- |
| $716-937-9116$ |


| Shaded Fields Will Calculate | Budgeted 2016-17 <br> (A) | (B) | Percent Change (C) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Budgeted Amount, not including Separate Propositions | 33,911,106 | 34,379,776 | 1.38 \% |
| A. Proposed Tax Levy to Support the Total Budgeted Amount ${ }^{1}$ | 14,554,604 | 14,554,604 |  |
| B. Tax Levy to Support Library Debt, if Applicable | 0 | 0 |  |
| C. Tax Levy for Non-Excludable Propositions, if Applicable ${ }^{2}$ | 0 | 0 |  |
| D. Total Tax Cap Reserve Amount Used to Reduce Current Year | 0 | 0 |  |
| Levy, if Applicable |  |  |  |
| E. Total Proposed School Year Tax Levy (A+B+C-D) | 14,554,604 | \|14,554,604 | 0.00 \% |
| F. Permissible Exclusions to the School Tax Levy Limit | 0 | 0 |  |
| G. School Tax Levy Limit, Excluding Levy for Permissable | 14,882,558 | 15,142,157 |  |
| Exclusions ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |
| H. Total Proposed Tax Levy for School Purposes, Excluding Permissible |  |  |  |
| Exclusions and Levy for Library Debt, Plus Prior Year Tax Cap | 14,554,604 | 14,554,604 |  |
| Reserve (E-B-F+D) |  |  |  |
| I. Difference: (G-H);(negative value requires 60.0\% voter approval) ${ }^{2}$ | 327,954 | \|587,553 |  |
| Public School Enrollment | 1,726 | 1,693 | -1.91 \% |
| Consumer Price Index |  |  | 1.26 \% |

[^0]Actual 2016-17 Estimated 2017-
(D)

18

| $11,629,902$ | $11,500,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $3,474,519$ | $3,000,000$ |

Adjusted Unrestricted Fund Balance
Adjusted Unrestricted Fund Balance as a Percent of the Total Budget

| $1,356,444$ | $1,375,191$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 4.00 | $\%$ |
| 4.00 | $\%$ |

ALDEN CSD - SCHOOL REPORT CARD DATA [2015-16]
ALDEN CSD ENROLLMENT (2015-16)
K-12 ENROLLMENT $\quad \square$

ENROLLMENT BY GENDER


## OTHER GROUPS

ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNERS
STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED

| 7 | 0\% | 202 | 12\% | 501 | 31\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## ENROLLMENT BY GRADE

| GROUP | TOTAL | PERCENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRE-K (HALF DAY) | 55 | 3\% |
| K(FULLDAY) | 110 | 7\% |
| 1ST GRADE | 116 | 7\% |
| 2ND GRADE | 103 | 6\% |
| 3RD GRADE | 105 | 6\% |
| 4TH GRADE | 120 | 7\% |
| 5 THGRADE | 129 | 8\% |
| 6THGRADE | 116 | 7\% |
| UNGRADED ELEMENTARY | 6 | 0\% |
| 7THGRADE | 133 | 8\% |
| 8THGRADE | 125 | 8\% |
| 9TH GRADE | 138 | 8\% |
| 10TH GRADE | 159 | 10\% |
| 11THGRADE | 141 | 9\% |
| 12TH GRADE | 125 | 8\% |
| UNGRADED SECONDARY | 11 | 1\% |



# FREE AND REDUCED-PRICE LUNCH (2015-16) 

ELIGIBLE FOR FREELUNCH
ELIGIBLE FOR REDUCED-PRICELUNCH

STUDENT SUSPENSIONS (2014-15)

TEACHER QUALIFICATIONS (2015-16)

| TOTAL TEACHERS | 140 |
| :---: | :---: |
| PERCENT WITH NO VALID TEACHING CERTIFICATE | 0\% |
| PERCENT TEACHING OUT OF CERTIFICATE | 4\% |
| PERCENT WITH FEWER THAN THREE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE | 2\% |
| PERCENTAGE WITH MASTER'S DEGREEPLUS 30 HOURS ORDOCTORATE | 8\% |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF CORE CLASSES | 285 |
| PERCENT NOT TAUGHT BY HIGHLY QUALIFIED TEACHERS IN THIS DISTRICT | 285 |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF CLASSES | 480 |
| PERCENT TAUGHT BY TEACHERS WITHOUT APPROPRIATE CERTIFICATION | 5\% |

HIGH SCHOOL COMPLETERS (2015-16)

GROUP ALL STUDENTS

GENERALEDUCATION
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES


ALL STUDENTS
GENERALEDUCATION
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES

COMPLETERS (GRADUATES + COMMENCEMENT CREDENTIALS)
GRADUATES (REGENTS + LOCAL DIPLOMAS)

| 131 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 114 |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | LOCAL DIPLOMAS |
|  |  |  |
|  | 1 | $7 \%$ |
|  | 8 | $1 \%$ |
|  |  | $47 \%$ |

REGENTS DIPLOMA

122
113
9
$93 \%$
99\%
53\%

## COMMENCEMENT CREDENTIALS

3
0
3
$2 \%$
0\%
15\%

HIGH SCHOOL NON-COMPLETERS (2015-16)

## GRADE 3 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

Due to changes in the 2015-16 grades 3-8 ELA and math exams, the proficiency rates from exams prior to 2015-16 are not directly comparable to the 2015-16 proficiency rates.


MEAN SCORE: 326

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 72 | 64\% | 12 | 17\% | 14 | 19\% | 32 | 44\% | 14 | 19\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 69 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 3 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE | 2 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC ORIATINO | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 69 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 72 | 64\% | 12 | 17\% | 14 | 19\% | 32 | 44\% | 14 | 19\% |
| FEMALE | 34 | 71\% | 2 | 6\% | 8 | 24\% | 15 | 44\% | 9 | 26\% |
| MALE | 38 | 58\% | 10 | 26\% | 6 | 16\% | 17 | 45\% | 5 | 13\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 72 | 64\% | 12 | 17\% | 14 | 19\% | 32 | 44\% | 14 | 19\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 19 | 47\% | 5 | 26\% | 5 | 26\% | 7 | 37\% | 2 | 11\% |
| NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 53 | 70\% | 7 | 13\% | 9 | 17\% | 25 | 47\% | 12 | 23\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 72 | 64\% | 12 | 17\% | 14 | 19\% | 32 | 44\% | 14 | 19\% |

## GRADE 4 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

Due to changes in the 2015-16 grades 3-8 ELA and math exams, the proficiency rates from exams prior to 2015-16 are not directly comparable to the 2015-16 proficiency rates.


MEAN SCORE: 310

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 70 | 37\% | 12 | 17\% | 32 | 46\% | 17 | 24\% | 9 | 13\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 60 | 42\% | 8 | 13\% | 27 | 45\% | 16 | 27\% | 9 | 15\% |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 10 | 10\% | 4 | 40\% | 5 | 50\% | 1 | 10\% | 0 | 0\% |
| HISPANIC ORLATINO | 2 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 67 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MULTIRACIAL | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 70 | 37\% | 12 | 17\% | 32 | 46\% | 17 | 24\% | 9 | 13\% |
| FEMALE | 30 | 43\% | 4 | 13\% | 13 | 43\% | 9 | 30\% | 4 | 13\% |
| MALE | 40 | 33\% | 8 | 20\% | 19 | 48\% | 8 | 20\% | 5 | 13\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 70 | 37\% | 12 | 17\% | 32 | 46\% | 17 | 24\% | 9 | 13\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 26 | 31\% | 8 | 31\% | 10 | 38\% | 6 | 23\% | 2 | 8\% |
| NOTECONOMICALIY DISADVANTAGED | 44 | 41\% | 4 | 9\% | 22 | 50\% | 11 | 25\% | 7 | 16\% |
| NOT MIGRANT | 70 | 37\% | 12 | 17\% | 32 | 46\% | 17 | 24\% | 9 | 13\% |

## GRADE 5 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

Due to changes in the 2015-16 grades 3-8 ELA and math exams, the proficiency rates from exams prior to 2015-16 are not directly comparable to the 2015-16 proficiency rates.


MEAN SCORE: 303

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 79 | 38\% | 22 | 28\% | 27 | 34\% | 23 | 29\% | 7 | 9\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 70 | 43\% | 14 | 20\% | 26 | 37\% | 23 | 33\% | 7 | 10\% |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 9 | 0\% | 8 | 89\% | 1 | 11\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| AMERICANINDIANORALASKA NATIVE | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 75 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MULTIRACIAL | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 79 | 38\% | 22 | 28\% | 27 | 34\% | 23 | 29\% | 7 | 9\% |
| FEMALE | 45 | 44\% | 7 | 16\% | 18 | 40\% | 14 | 31\% | 6 | 13\% |
| MALE | 34 | 29\% | 15 | 44\% | 9 | 26\% | 9 | 26\% | 1 | 3\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 79 | 38\% | 22 | 28\% | 27 | 34\% | 23 | 29\% | 7 | 9\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 25 | 32\% | 8 | 32\% | 9 | 36\% | 7 | 28\% | 1 | 4\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 54 | 41\% | 14 | 26\% | 18 | 33\% | 16 | 30\% | 6 | 11\% |
| NOT MIGRANT | 79 | 38\% | 22 | 28\% | 27 | 34\% | 23 | 29\% | 7 | 9\% |

## GRADE 6 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

Due to changes in the 2015-16 grades 3-8 ELA and math exams, the proficiency rates from exams prior to 2015-16 are not directly comparable to the 2015-16 proficiency rates.


MEAN SCORE: 303

| GROUP | TOTALTESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 67 | 37\% | 11 | 16\% | 31 | 46\% | 22 | 33\% | 3 | 4\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 58 | 41\% | 6 | 10\% | 28 | 48\% | 21 | 36\% | 3 | 5\% |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 9 | 11\% | 5 | 56\% | 3 | 33\% | 1 | 11\% | 0 | 0\% |
| AMERICANINDIANOR ALASKA NATIVE | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 66 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 67 | 37\% | 11 | 16\% | 31 | 46\% | 22 | 33\% | 3 | 4\% |
| FEMALE | 33 | 45\% | 5 | 15\% | 13 | 39\% | 12 | 36\% | 3 | 9\% |
| MALE | 34 | 29\% | 6 | 18\% | 18 | 53\% | 10 | 29\% | 0 | 0\% |
| NON-ENGLISHLANGUAGE LEARNERS | 66 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNERS | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 18 | 39\% | 6 | 33\% | 5 | 28\% | 6 | 33\% | 1 | 6\% |
| NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 49 | 37\% | 5 | 10\% | 26 | 53\% | 16 | 33\% | 2 | 4\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 67 | 37\% | 11 | 16\% | 31 | 46\% | 22 | 33\% | 3 | 4\% |

## GRADE 7 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

Due to changes in the 2015-16 grades 3-8 ELA and math exams, the proficiency rates from exams prior to 2015-16 are not directly comparable to the 2015-16 proficiency rates.


MEAN SCORE: 312

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 64 | 34\% | 9 | 14\% | 33 | 52\% | 14 | 22\% | 8 | 13\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 58 | 38\% | 5 | 9\% | 31 | 53\% | 14 | 24\% | 8 | 14\% |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 6 | 0\% | 4 | 67\% | 2 | 33\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 63 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 64 | 34\% | 9 | 14\% | 33 | 52\% | 14 | 22\% | 8 | 13\% |
| FEMALE | 27 | 48\% | 2 | 7\% | 12 | 44\% | 9 | 33\% | 4 | 15\% |
| MALE | 37 | 24\% | 7 | 19\% | 21 | 57\% | 5 | 14\% | 4 | 11\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 63 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 14 | 14\% | 4 | 29\% | 8 | 57\% | 2 | 14\% | 0 | 0\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 50 | 40\% | 5 | 10\% | 25 | 50\% | 12 | 24\% | 8 | 16\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 64 | 34\% | 9 | 14\% | 33 | 52\% | 14 | 22\% | 8 | 13\% |

## GRADE 8 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

Due to changes in the 2015-16 grades 3-8 ELA and math exams, the proficiency rates from exams prior to 2015-16 are not directly comparable to the 2015-16 proficiency rates.


MEAN SCORE: 308

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 56 | 45\% | 11 | 20\% | 20 | 36\% | 14 | 25\% | 11 | 20\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 43 | 56\% | 5 | 12\% | 14 | 33\% | 14 | 33\% | 10 | 23\% |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 13 | 8\% | 6 | 46\% | 6 | 46\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 8\% |
| BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 53 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MULTIRACIAL | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 56 | 45\% | 11 | 20\% | 20 | 36\% | 14 | 25\% | 11 | 20\% |
| FEMALE | 30 | 70\% | 1 | 3\% | 8 | 27\% | 11 | 37\% | 10 | 33\% |
| MALE | 26 | 15\% | 10 | 38\% | 12 | 46\% | 3 | 12\% | 1 | 4\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGELEARNERS | 56 | 45\% | 11 | 20\% | 20 | 36\% | 14 | 25\% | 11 | 20\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 17 | 18\% | 7 | 41\% | 7 | 41\% | 1 | 6\% | 2 | 12\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 39 | 56\% | 4 | 10\% | 13 | 33\% | 13 | 33\% | 9 | 23\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 56 | 45\% | 11 | 20\% | 20 | 36\% | 14 | 25\% | 11 | 20\% |

## GRADE 3 MATHEMATICS

Due to changes in the 2015-16 grades 3-8 ELA and math exams, the proficiency rates from exams prior to 2015-16 are not directly comparable to the 2015-16 proficiency rates.


MEAN SCORE: 325

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 70 | 61\% | 3 | 4\% | 24 | 34\% | 14 | 20\% | 29 | 41\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 67 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 3 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| AMERICANINDIANORALASKA NATIVE | 2 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 67 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 70 | 61\% | 3 | 4\% | 24 | 34\% | 14 | 20\% | 29 | 41\% |
| FEMALE | 34 | 62\% | 1 | 3\% | 12 | 35\% | 9 | 26\% | 12 | 35\% |
| MALE | 36 | 61\% | 2 | 6\% | 12 | 33\% | 5 | 14\% | 17 | 47\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 70 | 61\% | 3 | 4\% | 24 | 34\% | 14 | 20\% | 29 | 41\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 17 | 53\% | 2 | 12\% | 6 | 35\% | 3 | 18\% | 6 | 35\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 53 | 64\% | 1 | 2\% | 18 | 34\% | 11 | 21\% | 23 | 43\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 70 | 61\% | 3 | 4\% | 24 | 34\% | 14 | 20\% | 29 | 41\% |

## GRADE 4 MATHEMATICS

Due to changes in the 2015-16 grades 3-8 ELA and math exams, the proficiency rates from exams prior to 2015-16 are not directly comparable to the 2015-16 proficiency rates.


MEAN SCORE: 317

| GROUP | TOTALTESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 70 | 56\% | 7 | 10\% | 24 | 34\% | 23 | 33\% | 16 | 23\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 60 | 65\% | 0 | 0\% | 21 | 35\% | 23 | 38\% | 16 | 27\% |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 10 | 0\% | 7 | 70\% | 3 | 30\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| HISPANIC ORLATINO | 2 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 67 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MULTIRACIAL | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 70 | 56\% | 7 | 10\% | 24 | 34\% | 23 | 33\% | 16 | 23\% |
| FEMALE | 31 | 55\% | 1 | 3\% | 13 | 42\% | 10 | 32\% | 7 | 23\% |
| MALE | 39 | 56\% | 6 | 15\% | 11 | 28\% | 13 | 33\% | 9 | 23\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 70 | 56\% | 7 | 10\% | 24 | 34\% | 23 | 33\% | 16 | 23\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 24 | 42\% | 4 | 17\% | 10 | 42\% | 6 | 25\% | 4 | 17\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 46 | 63\% | 3 | 7\% | 14 | 30\% | 17 | 37\% | 12 | 26\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 70 | 56\% | 7 | 10\% | 24 | 34\% | 23 | 33\% | 16 | 23\% |

## GRADE 5 MATHEMATICS

Due to changes in the 2015-16 grades 3-8 ELA and math exams, the proficiency rates from exams prior to 2015-16 are not directly comparable to the 2015-16 proficiency rates.


MEAN SCORE: 317

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 75 | 49\% | 15 | 20\% | 23 | 31\% | 21 | 28\% | 16 | 21\% |
| GENERAL-EDUCATION | 67 | 55\% | 11 | 16\% | 19 | 28\% | 21 | 31\% | 16 | 24\% |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 8 | 0\% | 4 | 50\% | 4 | 50\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| AMERICANINDIANOR ALASKA NATIVE | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 73 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALLGROUP TOTAL | 75 | 49\% | 15 | 20\% | 23 | 31\% | 21 | 28\% | 16 | 21\% |
| FEMALE | 42 | 55\% | 8 | 19\% | 11 | 26\% | 12 | 29\% | 11 | 26\% |
| MALE | 33 | 42\% | 7 | 21\% | 12 | 36\% | 9 | 27\% | 5 | 15\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 75 | 49\% | 15 | 20\% | 23 | 31\% | 21 | 28\% | 16 | 21\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 22 | 36\% | 5 | 23\% | 9 | 41\% | 4 | 18\% | 4 | 18\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 53 | 55\% | 10 | 19\% | 14 | 26\% | 17 | 32\% | 12 | 23\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 75 | 49\% | 15 | 20\% | 23 | 31\% | 21 | 28\% | 16 | 21\% |

## GRADE 6 MATHEMATICS

Due to changes in the 2015-16 grades 3-8 ELA and math exams, the proficiency rates from exams prior to 2015-16 are not directly comparable to the 2015-16 proficiency rates.


MEAN SCORE: 305

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 62 | 39\% | 13 | 21\% | 25 | 40\% | 17 | 27\% | 7 | 11\% |
| GENERAL-EDUCATION | 53 | 45\% | 7 | 13\% | 22 | 42\% | 17 | 32\% | 7 | 13\% |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 9 | 0\% | 6 | 67\% | 3 | 33\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| AMERICANINDIANOR ALASKA NATIVE | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 61 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 62 | 39\% | 13 | 21\% | 25 | 40\% | 17 | 27\% | 7 | 11\% |
| FEMALE | 30 | 47\% | 7 | 23\% | 9 | 30\% | 9 | 30\% | 5 | 17\% |
| MALE | 32 | 31\% | 6 | 19\% | 16 | 50\% | 8 | 25\% | 2 | 6\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 61 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNERS | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 16 | 19\% | 6 | 38\% | 7 | 44\% | 2 | 13\% | 1 | 6\% |
| NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 46 | 46\% | 7 | 15\% | 18 | 39\% | 15 | 33\% | 6 | 13\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 62 | 39\% | 13 | 21\% | 25 | 40\% | 17 | 27\% | 7 | 11\% |

## GRADE 7 MATHEMATICS

Due to changes in the 2015-16 grades 3-8 ELA and math exams, the proficiency rates from exams prior to 2015-16 are not directly comparable to the 2015-16 proficiency rates.
Mean scores and data in the table for grade 7 math include only those for grade 7 students who took the Grade 7 New York State Testing Program Assessment (NYSTP) in Mathematics. For 2015 and forward, data in the bar charts include those for grade 7 students who took the Grade 7 NYSTP in Mathematics and grade 7 students who took a Regents math test in lieu of the NYSTP. For 2014 and earlier, data in the bar charts include only those for grade 7 students who took the Grade 7 NYSTP.


MEAN SCORE: 316

| GROUP | TOTALTESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 56 | 45\% | 11 | 20\% | 20 | 36\% | 20 | 36\% | 5 | 9\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 50 | 50\% | 8 | 16\% | 17 | 34\% | 20 | 40\% | 5 | 10\% |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 6 | 0\% | 3 | 50\% | 3 | 50\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| ASIANOR NATIVEHAWAIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 55 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 56 | 45\% | 11 | 20\% | 20 | 36\% | 20 | 36\% | 5 | 9\% |
| FEMALE | 24 | 46\% | 3 | 13\% | 10 | 42\% | 8 | 33\% | 3 | 13\% |
| MALE | 32 | 44\% | 8 | 25\% | 10 | 31\% | 12 | 38\% | 2 | 6\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 55 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNERS | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 12 | 33\% | 4 | 33\% | 4 | 33\% | 4 | 33\% | 0 | 0\% |
| NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 44 | 48\% | 7 | 16\% | 16 | 36\% | 16 | 36\% | 5 | 11\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 56 | 45\% | 11 | 20\% | 20 | 36\% | 20 | 36\% | 5 | 9\% |

## GRADE 8 MATHEMATICS

Due to changes in the 2015-16 grades 3-8 ELA and math exams, the proficiency rates from exams prior to 2015-16 are not directly comparable to the 2015-16 proficiency rates.
Mean scores and data in the table for grade 8 math include only those for grade 8 students who took the Grade 8 New York State Testing Program Assessment (NYSTP) in Mathematics. For 2015 and forward, data in the bar charts include those for grade 8 students who took the Grade 8 NYSTP in Mathematics and grade 8 students who took a Regents math test in lieu of the NYSTP. For 2014 and earlier, data in the bar charts include only those for grade 8 students who took the Grade 8 NYSTP.


MEAN SCORE: 294

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 36 | 17\% | 11 | 31\% | 19 | 53\% | 6 | 17\% | 0 | 0\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 25 | 24\% | 4 | 16\% | 15 | 60\% | 6 | 24\% | 0 | 0\% |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 11 | 0\% | 7 | 64\% | 4 | 36\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 33 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MULTIRACIAL | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 36 | 17\% | 11 | 31\% | 19 | 53\% | 6 | 17\% | 0 | 0\% |
| FEMALE | 16 | 13\% | 4 | 25\% | 10 | 63\% | 2 | 13\% | 0 | 0\% |
| MALE | 20 | 20\% | 7 | 35\% | 9 | 45\% | 4 | 20\% | 0 | 0\% |
| NON-ENGLISHLANGUAGE LEARNERS | 36 | 17\% | 11 | 31\% | 19 | 53\% | 6 | 17\% | 0 | 0\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 12 | 25\% | 2 | 17\% | 7 | 58\% | 3 | 25\% | 0 | 0\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 24 | 13\% | 9 | 38\% | 12 | 50\% | 3 | 13\% | 0 | 0\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 36 | 17\% | 11 | 31\% | 19 | 53\% | 6 | 17\% | 0 | 0\% |

## GRADE 8 STUDENTS TAKING A REGENTS MATH TEST

Accelerated grade 8 students who took a Regents math test in lieu of the Grade 8 NYSTP in Mathematics.

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | 4 \& ABOVE |  | $3 \& A B O V E$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 24 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 24 | 100\% | 24 | 100\% |

## GRADE 4 SCIENCE

Due to changes in the 2015-16 grades 3-8 ELA and math exams, the proficiency rates from exams prior to 2015-16 are not directly comparable to the 2015-16 proficiency rates.


MEAN SCORE: 89

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 67 | 99\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 1\% | 13 | 19\% | 53 | 79\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 61 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 10 | 16\% | 51 | 84\% |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 6 | 83\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 17\% | 3 | 50\% | 2 | 33\% |
| HISPANIC ORLATINO | 2 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 64 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MULTIRACIAL | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 67 | 99\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 1\% | 13 | 19\% | 53 | 79\% |
| FEMALE | 31 | 97\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 3\% | 11 | 35\% | 19 | 61\% |
| MALE | 36 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 6\% | 34 | 94\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 67 | 99\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 1\% | 13 | 19\% | 53 | 79\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 23 | 96\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 4\% | 6 | 26\% | 16 | 70\% |
| NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 44 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 7 | 16\% | 37 | 84\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 67 | 99\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 1\% | 13 | 19\% | 53 | 79\% |

## GRADE 8 SCIENCE

Due to changes in the 2015-16 grades 3-8 ELA and math exams, the proficiency rates from exams prior to 2015-16 are not directly comparable to the 2015-16 proficiency rates.
Data in the bar charts include those for grade 8 students who took the New York State Grade 8 Science Test and grade 8 students who took a Regents science test in lieu of this test. Mean scores and data in the table for grade 8 science include only those for grade 8 students who took the New York State Grade 8 Science Test.


MEAN SCORE: 76

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 47 | 87\% | 1 | 2\% | 5 | 11\% | 26 | 55\% | 15 | 32\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 36 | 94\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 6\% | 19 | 53\% | 15 | 42\% |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 11 | 64\% | 1 | 9\% | 3 | 27\% | 7 | 64\% | 0 | 0\% |
| BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 44 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MULTIRACIAL | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 47 | 87\% | 1 | 2\% | 5 | 11\% | 26 | 55\% | 15 | 32\% |
| FEMALE | 26 | 88\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 12\% | 10 | 38\% | 13 | 50\% |
| MALE | 21 | 86\% | 1 | 5\% | 2 | 10\% | 16 | 76\% | 2 | 10\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 47 | 87\% | 1 | 2\% | 5 | 11\% | 26 | 55\% | 15 | 32\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 13 | 85\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 15\% | 9 | 69\% | 2 | 15\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 34 | 88\% | 1 | 3\% | 3 | 9\% | 17 | 50\% | 13 | 38\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 47 | 87\% | 1 | 2\% | 5 | 11\% | 26 | 55\% | 15 | 32\% |

## GRADE: 4 <br> READING

| GROUP | BELOW BASIC | BASIC | PROFICIENT | ADVANCED | PARTICIPATION RATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 32\% | 32\% | 27\% | 9\% |  |
| AMERICANINDIAN OR ALASK... | *\% | *\% | *\% | *\% |  |
| ASIANOR NATIVE HAWAIIAN... | 20\% | 30\% | 33\% | 17\% |  |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICA... | 48\% | 34\% | 15\% | 3\% |  |
| HISPANIC ORLATINO | 44\% | 37\% | 17\% | 2\% |  |
| WHITE | 20\% | 31\% | 37\% | 12\% |  |
| MULTIRACIAL | *\% | *\% | *\% | *\% |  |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES | 70\% | 22\% | 7\% | 1\% | 98 |
| ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNER. | 74\% | 21\% | 4\% | 1\% | 88 |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANT... | 43\% | 36\% | 18\% | 3\% |  |

MATHEMATICS

| GROUP | BELOW BASIC | BASIC | PROFICIENT | ADVANCED | PARTICIPATION RATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 21\% | 44\% | 30\% | 5\% |  |
| AMERICANINDIAN OR ALASK... | *\% | *\% | *\% | *\% |  |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN... | 12\% | 31\% | 42\% | 15\% |  |
| BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICA... | 40\% | 46\% | 13\% | 1\% |  |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | 28\% | 51\% | 20\% | 1\% |  |
| WHITE | 12\% | 41\% | 40\% | 7\% |  |
| MULTIRACIAL | *\% | *\% | *\% | *\% |  |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 49\% | 39\% | 10\% | 2\% | 98 |
| ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNER... | 56\% | 35\% | 9\% | *\% | 91 |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANT... | 29\% | 48\% | 21\% | 2\% |  |

GRADE: 8
READING

| GROUP | BELOW BASIC | BASIC | PROFICIENT | ADVANCED | PARTICIPATION RATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 27\% | 40\% | 29\% | 4\% |  |
| AMERICANINDIAN OR ALASK... | *\% | *\% | *\% | *\% |  |
| ASIANOR NATIVEHAWAIIAN... | 19\% | 39\% | 34\% | 8\% |  |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICA... | 42\% | 41\% | 16\% | 1\% |  |
| HISPANIC ORLATINO | 35\% | 43\% | 20\% | 2\% |  |
| WHITE | 18\% | 39\% | 38\% | 5\% |  |
| MULTIRACIAL | *\% | *\% | *\% | *\% |  |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 59\% | 33\% | 8\% | *\% | 98 |
| ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNER.. | 78\% | 19\% | 3\% | *\% | 89 |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANT.. | 36\% | 42\% | 21\% | 1\% |  |

## MATHEMATICS

| GROUP | BELOW BASIC | BASIC | PROFICIENT | ADVANCED | PARTICIPATION RATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | $31 \%$ | $38 \%$ |  |  |  |
| AMERICANINDIANORALASK... | $* \%$ | $*$ | $24 \%$ | $7 \%$ |  |


| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN... | 18\% | 30\% | 33\% | 19\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BLACKORAFRICANAMERICA.. | 48\% | 37\% | 13\% | 2\% |  |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | 41\% | 40\% | 16\% | 3\% |  |
| WHITE | 20\% | 40\% | 31\% | 9\% |  |
| MULTIRACIAL | *\% | *\% | *\% | *\% |  |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 64\% | 27\% | 8\% | 1\% | 99 |
| ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNER.. | 72\% | 21\% | 6\% | 1\% | 94 |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANT.. | 40\% | 39\% | 17\% | 4\% |  |

## TOTAL COHORT RESULTS IN SECONDARY-LEVEL ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS AFTER FOUR YEARS OF INSTRUCTION



| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 131 | 95\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 2\% | 43 | 33\% | 82 | 63\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 111 | 98\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 1\% | 29 | 26\% | 80 | 72\% |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 20 | 80\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 5\% | 14 | 70\% | 2 | 10\% |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 4 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 126 | 96\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 2\% | 39 | 31\% | 82 | 65\% |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 5 | 80\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 80\% | 0 | 0\% |
| FEMALE | 55 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 14 | 25\% | 41 | 75\% |
| MALE | 76 | 92\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 3\% | 29 | 38\% | 41 | 54\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 131 | 95\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 2\% | 43 | 33\% | 82 | 63\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 34 | 94\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 3\% | 14 | 41\% | 18 | 53\% |
| NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 97 | 96\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 1\% | 29 | 30\% | 64 | 66\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 131 | 95\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 2\% | 43 | 33\% | 82 | 63\% |

## TOTAL COHORT RESULTS IN SECONDARY-LEVEL MATHEMATICS AFTER FOUR YEARS OF INSTRUCTION



GROUP TOTALTESTED PROFICIENT LEVEL 1 LEVEL 2 LEVEL 3 LEVEL 4

| ALLSTUDENTS | 131 | 96\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 2\% | 89 | 68\% | 37 | 28\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 111 | 99\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 1\% | 73 | 66\% | 37 | 33\% |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 20 | 80\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 10\% | 16 | 80\% | 0 | 0\% |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 4 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 126 | 97\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 2\% | 85 | 67\% | 37 | 29\% |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 5 | 80\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 80\% | 0 | 0\% |
| FEMALE | 55 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 37 | 67\% | 18 | 33\% |
| MALE | 76 | 93\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 4\% | 52 | 68\% | 19 | 25\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 131 | 96\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 2\% | 89 | 68\% | 37 | 28\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 34 | 94\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 3\% | 24 | 71\% | 8 | 24\% |
| NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 97 | 97\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 2\% | 65 | 67\% | 29 | 30\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 131 | 96\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 2\% | 89 | 68\% | 37 | 28\% |

## TOTAL COHORT RESULTS IN SECONDARY-LEVEL GLOBAL HISTORY AND GEOGRAPHY AFTER FOUR YEARS OF INSTRUCTION



GROUP TOTAL TESTED PROFICIENT LEVEL 1 LEVEL $2 \quad$ LEVEL 3

LEVEL 4

| ALLSTUDENTS | 131 | 90\% | 2 | 2\% | 9 | 7\% | 57 | 44\% | 61 | 47\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 111 | 97\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 3\% | 47 | 42\% | 61 | 55\% |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 20 | 50\% | 2 | 10\% | 6 | 30\% | 10 | 50\% | 0 | 0\% |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 4 | -\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 126 | 91\% | 2 | 2\% | 8 | 6\% | 55 | 44\% | 60 | 48\% |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 5 | 60\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 20\% | 2 | 40\% | 1 | 20\% |
| FEMALE | 55 | 93\% | 1 | 2\% | 3 | 5\% | 23 | 42\% | 28 | 51\% |
| MALE | 76 | 88\% | 1 | 1\% | 6 | 8\% | 34 | 45\% | 33 | 43\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 131 | 90\% | 2 | 2\% | 9 | 7\% | 57 | 44\% | 61 | 47\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 34 | 88\% | 1 | 3\% | 2 | 6\% | 19 | 56\% | 11 | 32\% |
| NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 97 | 91\% | 1 | 1\% | 7 | 7\% | 38 | 39\% | 50 | 52\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 131 | 90\% | 2 | 2\% | 9 | 7\% | 57 | 44\% | 61 | 47\% |

# TOTAL COHORT RESULTS IN SECONDARY-LEVEL U.S. HISTORY AND GOVERNMENT AFTER FOUR YEARS OF INSTRUCTION 



| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 131 | 93\% | 1 | 1\% | 4 | 3\% | 44 | 34\% | 78 | 60\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 111 | 98\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 1\% | 32 | 29\% | 77 | 69\% |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 20 | 65\% | 1 | 5\% | 3 | 15\% | 12 | 60\% | 1 | 5\% |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN | 4 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 126 | 94\% | 1 | 1\% | 4 | 3\% | 41 | 33\% | 77 | 61\% |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 5 | 80\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 60\% | 1 | 20\% |
| FEMALE | 55 | 98\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 2\% | 20 | 36\% | 34 | 62\% |
| MALE | 76 | 89\% | 1 | 1\% | 3 | 4\% | 24 | 32\% | 44 | 58\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGELEARNERS | 131 | 93\% | 1 | 1\% | 4 | 3\% | 44 | 34\% | 78 | 60\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 34 | 91\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 6\% | 15 | 44\% | 16 | 47\% |
| NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 97 | 94\% | 1 | 1\% | 2 | 2\% | 29 | 30\% | 62 | 64\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 131 | 93\% | 1 | 1\% | 4 | 3\% | 44 | 34\% | 78 | 60\% |

## TOTAL COHORT RESULTS IN SECONDARY-LEVEL SCIENCE AFTER FOUR YEARS OF INSTRUCTION



GROUP TOTAL TESTED PROFICIENT LEVEL 1 LEVEL 2 LEVEL 3

LEVEL 4

| ALLSTUDENTS | 131 | 97\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 2\% | 63 | 48\% | 64 | 49\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 111 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 48 | 43\% | 63 | 57\% |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 20 | 80\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 15\% | 15 | 75\% | 1 | 5\% |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.. | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 4 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 126 | 98\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 2\% | 59 | 47\% | 64 | 51\% |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 5 | 80\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 80\% | 0 | 0\% |
| FEMALE | 55 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 30 | 55\% | 25 | 45\% |
| MALE | 76 | 95\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 4\% | 33 | 43\% | 39 | 51\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 131 | 97\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 2\% | 63 | 48\% | 64 | 49\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 34 | 97\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 20 | 59\% | 13 | 38\% |
| NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 97 | 97\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 3\% | 43 | 44\% | 51 | 53\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 131 | 97\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 2\% | 63 | 48\% | 64 | 49\% |

# COMPREHENSIVE ENGLISH <br> REGENTS COMPREHENSIVE ENGLISH 

| GROUP | TOTALT | 55 |  | 65 |  | 85 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALIGROUP TOTAL | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MALE | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| NOT MIGRANT | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |

## ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS (COMMON CORE)

ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS (COMMON CORE)

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  | LEVEL 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 141 | 2 | 1\% | 1 | 1\% | 17 | 12\% | 21 | 15\% | 100 | 71\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 125 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 9 | 7\% | 20 | 16\% | 96 | 77\% |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 16 | 2 | 13\% | 1 | 6\% | 8 | 50\% | 1 | 6\% | 4 | 25\% |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC ORLATINO | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 135 | 2 | 1\% | 1 | 1\% | 17 | 13\% | 21 | 16\% | 94 | 70\% |
| MULTIRACIAL | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 6 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 6 | 100\% |
| FEMALE | 66 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 2\% | 2 | 3\% | 10 | 15\% | 53 | 80\% |
| MALE | 75 | 2 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 15 | 20\% | 11 | 15\% | 47 | 63\% |
| NON-ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNERS | 141 | 2 | 1\% | 1 | 1\% | 17 | 12\% | 21 | 15\% | 100 | 71\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 38 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 11\% | 8 | 21\% | 26 | 68\% |
| NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 103 | 2 | 2\% | 1 | 1\% | 13 | 13\% | 13 | 13\% | 74 | 72\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 141 | 2 | 1\% | 1 | 1\% | 17 | 12\% | 21 | 15\% | 100 | 71\% |

## GEOMETRY

REGENTS GEOMETRY

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | 55 |  | 65 |  | 85 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MULTIRACIAL | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALLGROUPTOTAL | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| FEMALE | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MALE | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| NON-ENGLISHLANGUAGE LEARNERS | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| NOTMIGRANT | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - |

## ALGEBRA 2/TRIGONOMETRY

REGENTS ALGEBRA 2/TRIGONOMETRY

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | 55 |  | 65 |  | 85 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 84 | 79 | 94\% | 67 | 80\% | 29 | 35\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 81 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.. | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 79 | 74 | 94\% | 63 | 80\% | 27 | 34\% |
| MULTIRACIAL | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 5 | 5 | 100\% | 4 | 80\% | 2 | 40\% |
| FEMALE | 52 | 49 | 94\% | 41 | 79\% | 22 | 42\% |
| MALE | 32 | 30 | 94\% | 26 | 81\% | 7 | 22\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 83 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNERS | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 20 | 17 | 85\% | 13 | 65\% | 5 | 25\% |
| NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 64 | 62 | 97\% | 54 | 84\% | 24 | 38\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 84 | 79 | 94\% | 67 | 80\% | 29 | 35\% |

## ALGEBRA I (COMMON CORE)

ALGEBRA I (COMMON CORE)

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  | LEVEL 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 150 | 4 | 3\% | 10 | 7\% | 70 | 47\% | 42 | 28\% | 24 | 16\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 129 | 1 | 1\% | 7 | 5\% | 59 | 46\% | 38 | 29\% | 24 | 19\% |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 21 | 3 | 14\% | 3 | 14\% | 11 | 52\% | 4 | 19\% | 0 | 0\% |
| BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 146 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MULTIRACIAL | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALLGROUPTOTAL | 150 | 4 | 3\% | 10 | 7\% | 70 | 47\% | 42 | 28\% | 24 | 16\% |
| FEMALE | 89 | 2 | 2\% | 5 | 6\% | 33 | 37\% | 29 | 33\% | 20 | 22\% |
| MALE | 61 | 2 | 3\% | 5 | 8\% | 37 | 61\% | 13 | 21\% | 4 | 7\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 150 | 4 | 3\% | 10 | 7\% | 70 | 47\% | 42 | 28\% | 24 | 16\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 32 | 3 | 9\% | 3 | 9\% | 17 | 53\% | 6 | 19\% | 3 | 9\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 118 | 1 | 1\% | 7 | 6\% | 53 | 45\% | 36 | 31\% | 21 | 18\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 150 | 4 | 3\% | 10 | 7\% | 70 | 47\% | 42 | 28\% | 24 | 16\% |

## GEOMETRY (COMMON CORE)

GEOMETRY (COMMON CORE)

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  | LEVEL 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 139 | 12 | 9\% | 21 | 15\% | 78 | 56\% | 23 | 17\% | 5 | 4\% |
| GENERAL-EDUCATION | 127 | 9 | 7\% | 19 | 15\% | 71 | 56\% | 23 | 18\% | 5 | 4\% |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 12 | 3 | 25\% | 2 | 17\% | 7 | 58\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| ASIANOR NATIVEHAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 136 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 139 | 12 | 9\% | 21 | 15\% | 78 | 56\% | 23 | 17\% | 5 | 4\% |
| FEMALE | 60 | 6 | 10\% | 11 | 18\% | 34 | 57\% | 7 | 12\% | 2 | 3\% |
| MALE | 79 | 6 | 8\% | 10 | 13\% | 44 | 56\% | 16 | 20\% | 3 | 4\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 137 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNERS | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 41 | 3 | 7\% | 8 | 20\% | 23 | 56\% | 5 | 12\% | 2 | 5\% |
| NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 98 | 9 | 9\% | 13 | 13\% | 55 | 56\% | 18 | 18\% | 3 | 3\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 139 | 12 | 9\% | 21 | 15\% | 78 | 56\% | 23 | 17\% | 5 | 4\% |

## ALGEBRA II (COMMON CORE)

ALGEBRA II (COMMON CORE)

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  | LEVEL 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MALE | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGELEARNERS | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| NOTMIGRANT | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

GLOBAL HISTORY AND GEOGRAPHY
REGENTS GLOBAL HISTORY AND GEOGRAPHY

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | 55 |  | 65 |  | 85 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 172 | 163 | 95\% | 152 | 88\% | 73 | 42\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 152 | 148 | 97\% | 139 | 91\% | 70 | 46\% |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 20 | 15 | 75\% | 13 | 65\% | 3 | 15\% |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC. | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 169 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 172 | 163 | 95\% | 152 | 88\% | 73 | 42\% |
| FEMALE | 80 | 75 | 94\% | 68 | 85\% | 35 | 44\% |
| MALE | 92 | 88 | 96\% | 84 | 91\% | 38 | 41\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 170 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNERS | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 44 | 42 | 95\% | 36 | 82\% | 18 | 41\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 128 | 121 | 95\% | 116 | 91\% | 55 | 43\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 172 | 163 | 95\% | 152 | 88\% | 73 | 42\% |


| GROUP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. HISTORY \& GOVERNMENT } \\ & \text { REGENTS U.S. HISTORY \& GOVERNMENT } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TOTAL TESTED | 55 |  | 65 |  | 85 |  |
| ALL STUDENTS | 143 | 139 | 97\% | 134 | 94\% | 91 | 64\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 127 | 127 | 100\% | 124 | 98\% | 83 | 65\% |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 16 | 12 | 75\% | 10 | 63\% | 8 | 50\% |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.. | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC ORLATINO | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 137 | 133 | 97\% | 128 | 93\% | 87 | 64\% |
| MULTIRACIAL | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 6 | 6 | 100\% | 6 | 100\% | 4 | 67\% |
| FEMALE | 67 | 66 | 99\% | 65 | 97\% | 46 | 69\% |
| MALE | 76 | 73 | 96\% | 69 | 91\% | 45 | 59\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 143 | 139 | 97\% | 134 | 94\% | 91 | 64\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 38 | 37 | 97\% | 36 | 95\% | 25 | 66\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 105 | 102 | 97\% | 98 | 93\% | 66 | 63\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 143 | 139 | 97\% | 134 | 94\% | 91 | 64\% |

## LIVING ENVIRONMENT

## REGENTS LIVING ENVIRONMENT

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | 55 |  | 65 |  | 85 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 143 | 142 | 99\% | 138 | 97\% | 51 | 36\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 117 | 117 | 100\% | 114 | 97\% | 45 | 38\% |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 26 | 25 | 96\% | 24 | 92\% | 6 | 23\% |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC ORLATINO | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 140 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 143 | 142 | 99\% | 138 | 97\% | 51 | 36\% |
| FEMALE | 73 | 72 | 99\% | 69 | 95\% | 26 | 36\% |
| MALE | 70 | 70 | 100\% | 69 | 99\% | 25 | 36\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGELEARNERS | 143 | 142 | 99\% | 138 | 97\% | 51 | 36\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 45 | 44 | 98\% | 42 | 93\% | 12 | 27\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 98 | 98 | 100\% | 96 | 98\% | 39 | 40\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 143 | 142 | 99\% | 138 | 97\% | 51 | 36\% |

## PHYSICAL SETTING/EARTH SCIENCE

REGENTS PHYSICAL SETTING/EARTH SCIENCE

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | 55 |  | 65 |  | 85 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 154 | 153 | 99\% | 148 | 96\% | 92 | 60\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 141 | 141 | 100\% | 136 | 96\% | 89 | 63\% |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 13 | 12 | 92\% | 12 | 92\% | 3 | 23\% |
| ASIANOR NATIVEHAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 151 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MULTIRACIAL | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALLGROUP TOTAL | 154 | 153 | 99\% | 148 | 96\% | 92 | 60\% |
| FEMALE | 72 | 71 | 99\% | 67 | 93\% | 39 | 54\% |
| MALE | 82 | 82 | 100\% | 81 | 99\% | 53 | 65\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 152 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNERS | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 40 | 39 | 98\% | 38 | 95\% | 18 | 45\% |
| NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 114 | 114 | 100\% | 110 | 96\% | 74 | 65\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 154 | 153 | 99\% | 148 | 96\% | 92 | 60\% |

## PHYSICAL SETTING/CHEMISTRY

REGENTS PHYSICAL SETTING/CHEMISTRY

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | 55 |  | 65 |  | 85 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 84 | 83 | 99\% | 75 | 89\% | 22 | 26\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 81 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 79 | 78 | $99 \%$ | 70 | 89\% | 20 | 25\% |
| MULTIRACIAL | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 5 | 5 | 100\% | 5 | 100\% | 2 | 40\% |
| FEMALE | 52 | 51 | 98\% | 47 | 90\% | 13 | 25\% |
| MALE | 32 | 32 | 100\% | 28 | 88\% | 9 | 28\% |
| NON-ENGLISHLANGUAGE LEARNERS | 84 | 83 | 99\% | 75 | 89\% | 22 | 26\% |
| ECONOMICALLYDISADVANTAGED | 21 | 20 | 95\% | 15 | 71\% | 3 | 14\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 63 | 63 | 100\% | 60 | 95\% | 19 | 30\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 84 | 83 | 99\% | 75 | 89\% | 22 | 26\% |

## PHYSICAL SETTING/PHYSICS

## REGENTS PHYSICAL SETTING/PHYSICS

| GROUP | TOTAL TE | 55 |  | 65 |  | 85 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 23 | 22 | 96\% | 19 | 83\% | 14 | 61\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 23 | 22 | 96\% | 19 | 83\% | 14 | 61\% |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 22 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALLGROUP TOTAL | 23 | 22 | 96\% | 19 | 83\% | 14 | 61\% |
| FEMALE | 9 | 9 | 100\% | 8 | 89\% | 7 | 78\% |
| MALE | 14 | 13 | 93\% | 11 | 79\% | 7 | 50\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 23 | 22 | 96\% | 19 | 83\% | 14 | 61\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 5 | 4 | 80\% | 3 | 60\% | 1 | 20\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 18 | 18 | 100\% | 16 | 89\% | 13 | 72\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 23 | 22 | 96\% | 19 | 83\% | 14 | 61\% |

NEW YORK STATE ALTERNATE ASSESSMENT (NYSAA) RESULTS (2015-16)

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVE | LEVEL 2 | LEVEL 3 | LEVEL 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADE 5 ELA | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - |
| GRADE 5 MATH | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - |
| GRADE 6 ELA | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - |
| GRADE 6MATH | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - |
| GRADE 7 ELA | 3 | _\% | - | - | - | - |
| GRADE 7 MATH | 3 | _\% | - | - | - | - |
| GRADE 8 ELA | 2 | _\% | - | - | - | - |
| GRADE 8 MATH | 2 | _\% | - | - | - | - |
| GRADE 8 SCIENCE | 3 | _\% | - | - | - | - |
| SECONDARY-LEVELELA | 2 | _\% | - | - | - | - |
| SECONDARY-LEVELMATH | 2 | _\% | - | - | - | - |
| SECONDARY-LEVELSCIENCE | 2 | _\% | - | - | - | - |
| SECONDARY-LEVELSOCIALSTUDIES | 2 | _\% | - | - | - | - |

NEW YORK STATE ENGLISH AS A SECOND LANGUAGE ACHIEVEMENT TEST (NYSESLAT) RESULTS (2015-16)
KINDERGARTEN

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | ENTERING | EMERGING | TRANSITIONING | EXPANDING | COMMANDING |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |

GRADE 1

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | ENTERING | EMERGING | TRANSITIONING | EXPANDING | COMMANDING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLStUdents | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |

GRADE 10

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED |  | ENTERING | EMERGING | TRANSITIONING | EXPANDING | COMMANDING |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 2 | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |  |

ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVEL ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY
ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

| GROUP | MADEAYP | TESTED 95\% | STUDENTS ENROLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD | PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTSWITH VALID TEST SCORES | PI >= EAMO OR SAFE TESTED STUDENTS HARBORTARGET ENROLLED ON BEDS DAY |  | PI | EAMO | SAFE HARBOR TARGET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | NO | NO | 1,512* | 56\%* | YES | 409 | 126 | 99 | 99 |
| AMERICANINDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE | - | - | 4 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - |
| BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN | - | - | 6 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | - | - | 10 | - | - | 5 | - | - | - |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| WHITE | NO | NO | 1,459* | 56\%* | YES | 395 | 126 | 113 | 113 |
| MULTIRACIAL | - | - | 5 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | NO | NO | 228* | 54\%* | YES | $61+$ | $69+$ | 61 | 61 |
| LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | NO | NO | $515^{*}$ | 51\%* | YES | 118 | 107 | 83 | 83 |

RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

| GROUP | STUDENTS ENROLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD | PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTSWITH VALID TEST SCORES | TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON BEDS DAY | PI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NOT AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE | 1,507* | 56\%* | 406 | 126 |
| NOT BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 1,497* | 56\%* | 407 | 126 |
| NOTHISPANIC ORLATINO | 1,491* | 56\%* | 404 | 126 |
| NOTASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PAC. | 1,510* | 56\%* | 408 | 125 |
| NOT WHITE | 26 | - | 14 | - |
| NOTMULTIRACIAL | 1,502* | 56\%* | 406 | 126 |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 1,284* | 56\%* | 355 | 135 |
| ENGLISHPROFICIENT | 1,508* | 56\%* | 407 | 126 |
| NOTECONOMICALLYDISADVANTAGED | 997* | 58\%* | 291 | 133 |
| MALE | 759* | 56\%* | 207 | 108 |
| FEMALE | 753* | 56\%* | 202 | 144 |
| MIGRANT | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| NOTMIGRANT | 1,512* | 56\%* | 409 | 126 |

[^1]ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

| GROUP | MADEEAYP | TESTED 95\% | STUDENTS ENROLLED DURING THE TEST ADMIIISTRATION PERIOD | PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTSWITH VALID TEST SCORES | PI >= EAMO OR SAFE TESTED STUDENTS <br> HARBORTARGET ENROLLED ON BEDS <br> DAY |  |  | EAMO | SAFE HARBOR TARGET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | NO | NO | 1,513* | 52\%* | YES | 395 | 136 | 95 | 95 |
| AMERICANINDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE | - | - | 4 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | - | - | 6 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | - | - | 10 | - | - | 4 | - | - | - |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.. | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| WHITE | NO | NO | 1,460* | 52\%* | YES | 383 | 137 | 109 | 109 |
| MULTIRACIAL | - | - | 5 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | NO | NO | 229* | 50\%* | YES | $59+$ | $68+$ | 61 | 61 |
| LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | No | NO | 516* | 44\%* | YES | 104 | 121 | 81 | 81 |

RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

| GROUP | STUDENTS ENROLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD | PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCORES | TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON BEDS DAY | Pl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NOT AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE | 1,508* | 52\%* | 392 | 136 |
| NOT BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 1,498* | 53\%* | 393 | 137 |
| NOTHISPANIC ORLATINO | 1,492* | 53\%* | 391 | 136 |
| NOT ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PAC.. | 1,511* | 52\%* | 394 | 136 |
| NOT WHITE | 26 | - | 12 | - |
| NOTMULTIRACIAL | 1,503* | 52\%* | 393 | 137 |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 1,284* | 53\%* | 344 | 148 |
| ENGLISHPROFICIENT | 1,509* | 52\%* | 393 | 136 |
| NOTECONOMICALLYDISADVANTAGED | 997* | 57\%* | 291 | 142 |
| MALE | 760* | 52\%* | 192 | 128 |
| FEMALE | 753* | 53\%* | 203 | 144 |
| MIGRANT | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| NOTMIGRANT | 1,513* | 52\%* | 395 | 136 |

[^2]ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

| GROUP | MADEAYP | TESTED 80\% | STUDENTS ENROLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD | $\qquad$ | Pl >=EAMO OR PROGRESSTARGET | TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON BEDS DAY | PI | EAMO | PROGRESSTARGET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | NO | NO | 520* | 53\%* | YES | 115 | 191 | 177 | 177 |
| AMERICANINDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN | - | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | - | - | 5 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - |
| ASIANOR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| WHITE | NO | NO | 499* | 53\%* | YES | 109 | 194 | 184 | 184 |
| MULTIRACIAL | - | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | NO | NO | 84* | 54\%* | YES | $46^{\circ}$ | 172 | 157 | 157 |
| LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | NO | NO | 184* | 46\%* | YES | 36 | 192 | 164 | 164 |

RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

| GROUP | STUDENTS ENROLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD | PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCORES | TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON BEDS DAY | PI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NOT AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE | 520* | 53\%* | 115 | 191 |
| NOT BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 513* | 53\%* | 114 | 191 |
| NOTHISPANIC ORLATINO | 511* | 53\%* | 112 | 193 |
| NOT ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PAC... | 520* | 53\%* | 115 | 191 |
| NOT WHITE | 11 | - | 6 | - |
| NOTMULTIRACIAL | 515* | 52\%* | 113 | 192 |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 436* | 53\%* | 95 | 198 |
| ENGLISHPROFICIENT | 520* | 53\%* | 115 | 191 |
| NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 336* | 56\%* | 79 | 191 |
| MALE | 252* | 55\%* | 56 | 189 |
| FEMALE | 268* | 51\%* | 59 | 193 |
| MIGRANT | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| NOTMIGRANT | $520 *$ | 53\%* | 115 | 191 |

[^3]
## ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: YES

| GROUP | MADE AYP | TESTED 95\% | 12TH GRADERS | PERCENT OF 12TH P GRADERSWITH VALID TEST SCORES | Pl>=EAMO ORSAFE HARBOR TARGET | 2012 ACCOUNTABILITY COHORT MEMBERS | PI | EAMO | SAFE HARBOR <br> TARGET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | YES | YES | 131 | 100\% | YES | 127 | 186 | 165 | 165 |
| AMERICANINDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| WHITE | YES | YES | 128 | 100\% | YES | 124 | 186 | 174 | 174 |
| MULTIRACIAL | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | - | - | 18 | - | - | 18 | - | - | - |
| LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | YES | - | 34 | - | YES | 33 | 179 | 145 | 145 |

RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

| GROUP | 12TH GRADERS | PERCENT OF 12TH GRADERSWITH VALID TEST SCORES | 2012 ACCOUNTABLLITY COHORT MEMBERS | Pl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NOT AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE | 131 | 100\% | 127 | 186 |
| NOT BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 129 | 100\% | 125 | 186 |
| NOTHISPANIC ORLATINO | 131 | 100\% | 127 | 186 |
| NOT ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PAC.. | 130 | 100\% | 126 | 186 |
| NOT WHITE | 3 | - | 3 | - |
| NOTMULTIRACIAL | 131 | 100\% | 127 | 186 |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 113 | 100\% | 109 | 193 |
| ENGLISHPROFICIENT | 131 | 100\% | 127 | 186 |
| NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 97 | 100\% | 94 | 188 |
| MALE | 75 | 100\% | 73 | 179 |
| FEMALE | 56 | 100\% | 54 | 194 |
| MIGRANT | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| NOTMIGRANT | 131 | 100\% | 127 | 186 |

[^4]ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

| GROUP | MADE AYP | TESTED 95\% | 12TH GRADERS | PERCENT OF 12TH PI GRADERS WITH VALID TEST SCORES | PI >=EAMO OR SAFE HARBORTARGET | 2012 ACCOUNTABILITY COHORT MEMBERS | Pl | EAMO | SAFE HARBOR TARGET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | NO | YES | 131 | 100\% | NO | 127 | 147 | 150 | 150 |
| AMERICANINDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| BLACK ORAFRICANAMERICAN | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC ORLATINO | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC. | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| WHITE | NO | YES | 128 | 100\% | NO | 124 | 148 | 161 | 161 |
| MULTIRACIAL | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | - | - | 18 | - | - | 18 | - | - | - |
| LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | YES | - | 34 | - | YES | 33 | 136 | 129 | 129 |

## RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

| GROUP | 12TH GRADERS | PERCENT OF 12TH GRADERS WITH VALID TEST SCORES | 2012 ACCOUNTABILITY COHORT MEMBERS | PI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NOT AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE | 131 | 100\% | 127 | 147 |
| NOT BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 129 | 100\% | 125 | 148 |
| NOTHISPANICORLATINO | 131 | 100\% | 127 | 147 |
| NOT ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PAC... | 130 | 100\% | 126 | 148 |
| NOT WHITE | 3 | - | 3 | - |
| NOTMULTIRACIAL | 131 | 100\% | 127 | 147 |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 113 | 100\% | 109 | 154 |
| ENGLISHPROFICIENT | 131 | 100\% | 127 | 147 |
| NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 97 | 100\% | 94 | 151 |
| MALE | 75 | 100\% | 73 | 142 |
| FEMALE | 56 | 100\% | 54 | 154 |
| MIGRANT | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| NOTMIGRANT | 131 | 100\% | 127 | 147 |

[^5]UNWEIGHTED COMBINED ELA AND MATH PIS

| GROUP | ELEMENTARY/ MIDDLE-LEVELELA PI | ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVEL MATH PI | SECONDARY-LEVELELA PI | SECONDARY-LEVEL MATH PI | UNWEIGHTED COMBINED PI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 126 | 136 | 186 | 147 | 149 |
| AMERICANINDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| HISPANICOR LATINO | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| ASIANOR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC | - | - | - |  | 0 |
| WHITE | 126 | 137 | 186 | 148 | 149 |
| MULTIRACIAL | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 69 | 68 | - | - | 69 |
| LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 107 | 121 | 179 | 136 | 136 |

- There were not enough students to determine a Performance Index.

OVERALL GRADUATION RATE FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

## ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: YES

| GROUP | MADE AYP |
| :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | YES |
| AMERICANINDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN | - |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | - |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER | - |
| WHITE | YES |
| MULTIRACIAL | - |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES | - |
| LIMITED ENGLISHPROFICIENT | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | YES |

- There were not enough students to make an AYP determination.

FOUR-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHORT FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

| GROUP | MET GRADUATION-RATE CRITERION: | 2011 FOUR-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHORT | GRADUATION RATE | STATE STANDARD | PROGRESS TARGET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | YES | 157 | 91\% | 80\% | 80\% |
| AMERICANINDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| WHITE | YES | 151 | 91\% | 80\% | 80\% |
| MULTIRACIAL | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | - | 20 | - | - | - |
| LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | YES | 52 | 90\% | 80\% | 80\% |

YES Graduation rate is equal to or greater than the State Standard or the group's Progress Target.
NO Graduation rate is less than the State Standard and the group's Progress Target.
-There were fewer than 30 students in the cohort.

FIVE-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHORT FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

| GROUP | MET GRADUATION-RATE CRITERION: | 2010 FIVE-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHORT | GRADUATION RATE | STATE STANDARD | PROGRESS TARGET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | YES | 172 | 88\% | 80\% | 80\% |
| AMERICANINDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | - | 3 | - | - | - |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| WHITE | YES | 166 | 89\% | 80\% | 80\% |
| MULTIRACIAL | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | - | 25 | - | - | - |
| LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALIY DISADVANTAGED | YES | 53 | 85\% | 80\% | 80\% |

YES Graduation rate is equal to or greater than the State Standard or the group's Progress Target.
NO Graduation rate is less than the State Standard and the group's Progress Target.
-There were fewer than 30 students in the cohort.

GRADUATION RATES FOR NON-AYP GROUPS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

| GROUP | FOUR-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHORT |  | FIVE-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHORT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 FOUR-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTALCOHORT | GRADUATION RATE | 2010 FOUR-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTALCOHORT | GRADUATION RATE |
| NOT AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE | 157 | 91\% | 170 | 89\% |
| NOT BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 155 | 91\% | 172 | 88\% |
| NOTHISPANIC ORLATINO | 156 | 91\% | 169 | 89\% |
| NOT ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PAC. | 155 | 91\% | 172 | 88\% |
| NOT WHITE | 6 | - | 6 | - |
| NOTMULTIRACIAL | 156 | 91\% | 171 | 88\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 137 | 95\% | 147 | 93\% |
| ENGLISHPROFICIENT | 155 | 91\% | 172 | 88\% |
| NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 105 | 91\% | 119 | 90\% |
| MALE | 86 | 88\% | 94 | 82\% |
| FEMALE | 71 | 94\% | 78 | 96\% |
| MIGRANT | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| NOTMIGRANT | 157 | 91\% | 172 | 88\% |

[^6]Graduation Rates for Regents with Advanced Designation and CTE Endorsement for Accountability
Percentage of 2011 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort members who graduated as of August 31, 2015 with:
$\square$

## FISCAL ACCOUNTABILITY SUMMARY (2015-16)

INFORMATION ABOUT EXPENDITURE RATIOS (2014-15)
(Data are lagged a year.)
Commissioner's Regulations require that certain expenditure ratios for general-education and special-education students be reported and compared with ratios for similar districts and all public schools. The required ratios for this district are reported below.

The numbers used to compute the statistics on this page were collected on the State Aid Form A, the State Aid Form F, the School District Annual Financial Report (ST-3), and from the Student Information Repository System (SIRS).

## THIS SCHOOL DISTRICT

GENERAL EDUCATION
INSTRUCTIONAL EXPENDITURES

\$8,831

SPECIALEDUCATION
INSTRUCTIONAL EXPENDITURES
\$5,243,147

| PUPILS |
| :---: |
| 238 |
| EXPENDITURES PER PUPIL |

\$22,030

## SIMILAR DISTRICT GROUP AVERAGE NEED/RESOURCE CAPACITY

GENERALEDUCATION


SPECIALEDUCATION


EXPENDITURES PER PUPIL.
\$31,342

## ALL SCHOOL DISTRICTS

GENERALEDUCATION


Instructional Expenditures for General Education are K-12 expenditures for classroom instruction (excluding Special Education) plus a proration of building level administrative and instructional support expenditures. These expenditures include amounts for instruction of students with disabilities in a general-education setting. District expenditures, such as transportation, debt service and district-wide administration are not included

The pupil count for General Education is K-12 average daily membership plus K-12 pupils for whom the district. pays tuition to another school district. This number represents all pupils, including those classified as having disabilities and those not classified, excluding only students with disabilities placed out of district. Pupils resident in the district but attending a charter school are included. For districts in which a county jail is located, this number includes incarcerated youth to whom the district must provide an education program.

Instructional Expenditures for Special Education are K-12 expenditures for students with disabilities (including summer special education expenditures) plus a proration of building level administrative and instructional support expenditures. District expenditures, such as transportation, debt service and district-wide administration are not included.

The pupil count for Special Education is a count of $K-12$ students with disabilities for the school year plus students for whom the district receives tuition from another district plus


Instructional Expenditures Per Pupil is the simple arithmetic ratio of Instructional Expenditures to Pupils. The total cost of instruction for students with disabilities may include both general- and special-education expenditures. Special-education services provided in the general-education classroom may benefit students not classified as having disabilities.

## TOTAL EXPENDITURES PER PUPIL

| THISSCHOOLDISTRICT | SIMILAR DISTRICT GROUP | NY STATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$17,211 | \$21,471 | \$22,556 |

## STUDENT PLACEMENT (PERCENT OF TIME INSIDE REGULAR CLASSROOM)



The source data for the statistics in this table were reported through the Student Information Repository System (SIRS) and verified in Verification Report 5 . The counts are numbers of students reported in the least restrictive environment categories for school-age programs (ages 6-21) on BEDS Day, which is the first Wednesday of the reporting year. The percentages represent the amount of time students with disabilities are in general-education classrooms, regardless of the amount and cost of special-education services they receive. Rounding of percentage values may cause them to sum to a number slightly different from $100 \%$.

## SCHOOL-AGE STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES CLASSIFICATION RATE

THISSCHOOLDISTRICT
11.9\%

13.1\%
nYSTATE
14.7\%
This rate is a ratio of the count of school-age students with disabilities (ages 4-21) to the total enrollment of all school-age students in the school district, including students who are parentally placed in nonpublic schools located in the school district. The numerator includes all school-age students for whom a district has Committee on Special Education (CSE) responsibility to ensure the provision of special-education services. The denominator includes all school-age students who reside in the district. In the case of parentally placed students in nonpublic schools, it includes the number of students who attend the nonpublic schools located in the school district. Source data are drawn from the SIRS and from the Basic Education Data System (BEDS).
Similar District Groups are identified according to the Need-to-Resource-Capacity Index. More information is available on our NRC capacity categories page.

In response to legislative efforts to encourage greater cost sharing in service provision and local government administration, we now provide a section for districts that share administrative staff to highlight these efforts for the upcoming school year. Each sharing district should identify in the form the other district(s) with which they will be sharing administrative staff for school year 2017-2018.

If you will be sharing a Superintendent, list the other district (or districts) in the text box. If you will be sharing other administrative staff required to be reported, please send an email to EMSCMGTS@nysed.gov indicating the title of the staff persons(s) as well as the other district(s) involved in the cost-sharing.

The salaries, benefits and other compensation reported in the form should reflect only the financial support or commitment that your district will be making. They should not reflect the total amounts budgeted to be paid by all participating districts over the school year.

| Report Estimated Salaries in the Budget for the 2017-2018 School Year <br> Sections 1608 and 1716 of the Education Law <br> (Please read the instructions and definitions before completing this form.) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Title | Salary | Employee Benefits | Other Remuneration |
| 1. | Superintendent of Schools | 149,350 | 32,662 | 10 |
|  | Please list the district or districts with which you will be sharing a superintendent (if applicable): |  |  |  |

Associate, Assistant and Deputy Superintendents
(Example Titles: Associate Superintendent for Instruction, Deputy Superintendent, Assistant Superintendent for Business, etc.)



Salary: Administrative Compensation Information 140101 - ALDEN CSD

| Title | Salary | Employee Other <br> Benefits  | Remuneration |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  |  |
| ---: | ---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 2016-2017 - Page 2 |  |
| Official - as of 04/12/2017 05:20 |  |
| PM |  |

2016-2017 Claim Year - Page 3
Official - as of 04/12/2017 05:20

Other Supervisory and Administrative Employees Scheduled to Receive $\$ 132,000$ or More in Salary

| 71. | PRIMARY SCHOOL PRINCIPAL | 141,884 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 72. | EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM DIRECTOR - ECCF | 139,569 |
| 73. | HIGH SCHOOL PRINCIPAL | 135,383 |
| 74. |  |  |
| 75. |  |  |
| 76. |  |  |
| 77. |  |  |
| 78. |  |  |
| 79. |  |  |
| 70. |  |  |
|  |  |  |



Salary: Administrative Compensation Information


Salary: Administrative Compensation Information 2016-2017 - Page 5 140101 - ALDEN CSD Official - as of 04/12/2017 05:20 PM


NYS BOARD OF REAL PROPERTY SERVICES
LOCAL GOVERNMENT EXEMPTION IMPACT REPORT
(for local use only -- not to be filed with NYS Board of Real Property Services)

Date: $\quad 3 / 9 / 2017$
Taxing Jurisdiction: Alden Central \#142001
Fiscal Year Begining: July 1, 2016
Total equalized value in taxing jurisdiction:
\$862,197,663

| TOWN OF ALDEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exemption Code <br> (Column A) | Exemption Description (Column B) | Statutory Authority (Column C) | Number of Exemptions (Column D) | Total <br> Equalized Value (Column E) | Percentage of Value Exempted (Column F) |
| 12100 | NYS-GENERALLY | RPTL 404(1) | 2 | 130,640,000 | 15.15 |
| 12350 | PUBLIC AUTHORITY - STATE | RPTL 412 | 1 | 3,000,000 | 0.35 |
| 12450 | NYS MED CARE FACILITY FIN AGEN | MC K UCON L 7421 | 1 | 220,000 | 0.03 |
| 13100 | CO-GENERALLY | RPTL 406(1) | 7 | 74,827,900 | 8.68 |
| 13500 | TOWN -GENERALLY | RPTL 406(1) | 12 | 3,166,300 | 0.37 |
| 13510 | CEMETERY LAND | RPTL 446 | 2 | 65,800 | 0.01 |
| 13650 | VG-GENERALLY | RPTL 406(1) | 14 | 2,632,600 | 0.31 |
| 13800 | SCHOOL DISTRICT | RPTL 408 | 4 | 25,322,600 | 2.94 |
| 18020 | MUNICIPAL INDUSTRIAL DEV AGENC | RPTL 412-a | 1 | 4,301,900 | 0.50 |
| 21600 | RES OF CLERGY RELIG CORP OWN | RPTL 462 | 2 | 340,000 | 0.04 |
| 25110 | NONPROF CORP- RELIG (CONST PRO) | RPTL 420-a | 17 | 8,111,000 | 0.94 |
| 25120 | NONPROF CORP-EDUCL (CONST PRO) | RPTL 420-a | 3 | 482,600 | 0.06 |
| 25130 | NONPROF CORP-CHAR (CONST PRO) | RPTL 420-a | 1 | 248,000 | 0.03 |
| 26400 | INC VOLUNTEER FIRE CO OR DEPT | RPTL 464(2) | 6 | 1,814,300 | 0.21 |
| 27350 | PRIVATELY OWNED CEMETERY LAND | RPTL 446 | 5 | 960,400 | 0.11 |
| 28540 | NOT FOR PROFIT HOUS CO -HOSTE | RPTL 422 | 1 | 400,000 | 0.05 |
| 41120 | ALT VET EX-WAR PERIOD-NON COMB | RPTL 458-a | 257 | 1,542,000 | 0.18 |
| 41124 | ALT VET EX-WAR PERIOD-NON COMB | RPTL 458-a | 22 | 132,000 | 0.02 |
| 41130 | ALT VET EX-WAR PERIOD-COMBAT | RPTL 458-a | 167 | 1,670,000 | 0.19 |
| 41134 | ALT VET EX-WAR PERIOD-COMBAT | RPTL 458-a | 34 | 340,000 | 0.04 |
| 41140 | ALT VET EX-WAR PERIOD-DISABILITY | RPTL 458-a | 58 | 976,766 | 0.11 |
| 41144 | ALT VET EX-WAR PERIOD-DISABILITY | RPTL 458-a | 15 | 215,540 | 0.02 |
| 41400 | CLERGY | RPTL 460 | 1 | 1,500 | 0.00 |
| 41700 | AGRICULTURAL BUILDING | RPTL 483 | 2 | 242,310 | 0.03 |
| 41720 | AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT | AG-MKTS L305 | 74 | 2,775,452 | 0.32 |
| 41730 | AGRIC LAND- INDIV NOT IN AG DIS | AG-MKTS L306 | 2 | 105,060 | 0.01 |
| 41800 | PERSONS AGE 65 OR OVER | RPTL 467 | 98 | 7,005,106 | 0.81 |
| 41805 | PERSONS AGE 65 OR OVER | RPTL 467 | 90 | 3,256,976 | 0.38 |
| 41834 | ENHANCED STAR | RPTL 425 | 661 | 42,665,291 | 4.95 |
| 41854 | BASIC STAR | RPTL 425 | 1798 | 54,589,465 | 6.33 |
| 41930 | DISABILITIES AND LIMITED INCOME | RPTL 459-c | 7 | 484,019 | 0.06 |
| 41935 | DISABILITIES AND LIMITED INCOME | RPTL 459-C | 2 | 71,700 | 0.01 |
|  |  | Totals | 3,367 | 372,606,585 | 43.22 |

The exempt amounts do not take into consideration any payments for municipal services.
Amount, if any, attributed to payments in lieu of taxes: $\$ \mathbf{2 4 , 8 5 1 . 1 9}$
(details contained on RP-495-PILOT)

NYS BOARD OF REAL PROPERTY SERVICES
LOCAL GOVERNMENT EXEMPTION IMPACT REPORT
(for local use only -- not to be filed with NYS Board of Real Property Services)

Date: 3/9/2017
Taxing Jurisdiction: ALDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL
Fiscal Year Begining: July 1, 2016
Total equalized value in taxing jurisdiction:
\$59,985,423

| TOWN OF LANCASTER |  | Statutory Authority (Column C) | Number of Exemptions (Column D) | Total <br> Equalized Value (Column E) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exemption } \\ & \quad \text { Code } \\ & \text { (Column A) } \end{aligned}$ | Exemption Description (Column B) |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Percentage of } \\ \text { Value } \\ \text { Exempted } \\ \text { (Column F) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 13500 | TOWN-GENERALLY | RPTL 406(1) | 1 | \$23,789 | 0.04 |
| 18020 | MUNICIPAL INDUSTRIAL DEV AGENCY | RPTL 412-a | 3 | \$2,256,842 | 3.76 |
| 25110 | NONPROF CORP- RELIG (CONST PRO) | RPTL 420-a | 2 | \$652,631 | 1.09 |
| 26400 | INC VOLUNTEER FIRE CO OR DEPT | RPTL 464(2) | 2 | \$655,158 | 1.09 |
| 41120 | ALT VET EX-WAR PERIOD-NON-COMB | RPTL 458-a | 27 | \$162,000 | 0.27 |
| 41130 | ALT VET EX-WAR PERIOD-COMBAT | RPTL 458-a | 15 | \$150,000 | 0.25 |
| 41134 | ALT VET EX-WAR PERIOD-COMBAT | RPTL 458-a | 2 | \$20,000 | 0.03 |
| 41140 | ALT VET EX-WAR PERIOD-DISABILITY | RPTL 458-a | 9 | \$148,022 | 0.25 |
| 41720 | AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT | AG-MKTS L 305 | 10 | \$539,790 | 0.90 |
| 41800 | PERSONS AGE 65 OR OVER | RPTL 467 | 12 | \$846,738 | 1.41 |
| 41804 | PERSONS AGE 65 OR OVER | RPTL 467 | 5 | \$173,063 | 0.29 |
| 41834 | ENHANCED STAR | RPTL 425 | 54 | \$3,545,732 | 5.91 |
| 41854 | BASIC STAR 1999-2000 | RPTL 425 | 173 | \$5,353,831 | 8.93 |
| 41930 | DISABILITIES AND LIMITED INCOME | RPTL 459-c | 2 | \$115,790 | 0.19 |
|  |  | Totals | 317 | \$14,643,386 | 24.41 |

The exempt amounts do not take into consideration any payments for municipal services.
Amount, if any, attributed to payments in lieu of taxes: \$ 21,948.76
(details contained on RP-495-PILOT)

Date: $\quad 3 / 15 / 2017$
Taxing Jurisdiction: ALDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL
Fiscal Year Begining: July 1, 2016
Total equalized value in taxing jurisdiction:
\$133,557,309

| Exemption Code (Column A) | Exemption Description (Column B) | Statutory <br> Authority (Column C) | Number of Exemptions (Column D) | Total <br> Equalized Value (Column E) | Percentage of Value Exempted (Column F) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13500 | TOWN-GENERALLY | RPTL 406(1) | 2 | \$21,628 | 0.02 |
| 41120 | ALT VET EX-WAR PERIOD-NON COMB | RPTL 458-a | 49 | \$300,860 | 0.23 |
| 41124 | ALT VET EX-WAR PERIOD-NON COMB | RPTL 458-a | 3 | \$18,420 | 0.01 |
| 41130 | ALT VET EX-WAR PERIOD-COMBAT | RPTL 458-a | 26 | \$266,058 | 0.20 |
| 41134 | ALT VET EX-WAR PERIOD-COMBAT | RPTL 458-a | 2 | \$20,466 | 0.02 |
| 41140 | ALT VET EX-WAR PEIROD-DISABILITY | RPTL 458-a | 9 | \$173,999 | 0.13 |
| 41400 | CLERGY | RPTL 460 | 1 | \$3,488 | 0.00 |
| 41700 | AGRICULTURAL - BUILDING | RPTL 483 | 4 | \$97,209 | 0.07 |
| 41720 | AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT | AG-MKTS L 305 | 54 | \$1,851,007 | 1.38 |
| 41800 | PERSONS AGE 65 OR OVER | RPTL 467 | 29 | \$1,900,485 | 1.42 |
| 41834 | ENHANCED STAR | RPTL 425 | 139 | \$9,918,332 | 7.43 |
| 41854 | BASIC STAR 1999-2000 | RPTL 425 | 346 | \$11,924,038 | 8.93 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Totals | 664 | \$26,495,990 | \$19.84 |

The exempt amounts do not take into consideration any payments for municipal services.
Amount, if any, attributed to payments in lieu of taxes: $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 0 0}$ (details contained on RP-495-PILOT)

## NYS BOARD OF REAL PROPERTY SERVICES <br> LOCAL GOVERNMENT EXEMPTION IMPACT REPORT

(for local use only -- not to be filed with NYS Board of Real Property Services)

Date: $\quad 3 / 8 / 2017$
Taxing Jurisdiction: ALDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL
Fiscal Year Begining: July 1, 2016

Total equalized value in taxing jurisdiction:

## \$7,280,741

| TOWN OF NEWSTEAD |
| :--- |
| Exemption <br> Code <br> (Column A) |
| 12100 |
| Exemption Description (Column B) |

The exempt amounts do not take into consideration any payments for municipal services.
Amount, if any, attributed to payments in lieu of taxes: $\$ 0.00$
(details contained on RP-495-PILOT)

NYS BOARD OF REAL PROPERTY SERVICES
LOCAL GOVERNMENT EXEMPTION IMPACT REPORT
(for local use only -- not to be filed with NYS Board of Real Property Services)

Date: 3/8/2017
Taxing Jurisdiction: ALDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL
Fiscal Year Begining: July 1, 2016
Total equalized value in taxing jurisdiction:

```
$33,231,744
```

| TOWN OF DARIEN |  | Statutory <br> Authority <br> (Column C) | Number of Exemptions (Column D) | Total <br> Equalized Value (Column E) | Percentage of Value Exempted (Column F) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exemption Code (Column A) | Exemption Description (Column B) |  |  |  |  |
| 27350 | PRIVATELY OWNED CEMETERY LAND | RPTL 446 | 2 | \$26,083 | 0.08 |
| 41120 | ALT VET EX-WAR PERIOD NON-COMB | RPTL 458-a | 10 | \$61,860 | 0.18 |
| 41130 | ALT VET EX-WAR PERIOD COMBAT | RPTL 458-a | 7 | \$72,163 | 0.21 |
| 41140 | ALT VET EX-WAR PERIOD DISABILITY | RPTL 458-a | 2 | \$29,067 | 0.08 |
| 41700 | AGRICULTURAL BUILDING | RPTL 483 | 1 | \$5,155 | 0.01 |
| 41720 | AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT | AG-MKTS L 305 | 17 | \$517,136 | 1.49 |
| 41804 | PERSONS AGE 65 OR OVER | RPTL 467 | 2 | \$131,083 | 0.38 |
| 41805 | PERSONS AGE 65 OR OVER | RPTL 467 | 1 | \$43,608 | 0.13 |
| 41834 | ENHANCED STAR AGE | RPTL 425 | 23 | \$1,500,988 | 4.34 |
| 41854 | BASIC STAR 1999-2000 | RPTL 425 | 106 | \$3,278,368 | 9.47 |
| 41934 | DISABILITIES AND LIMITED INCOM | RPTL 459-c | 1 | \$71,582 | 0.21 |
| 42100 | SILOS, MANURE STORAGE TANKS, | RPTL 483-a | 1 | \$11,856 | 0.03 |
|  |  | Totals | 173 | 5,748,949 | 16.61 |

The exempt amounts do not take into consideration any payments for municipal services.
Amount, if any, attributed to payments in lieu of taxes: $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 0 0}$
(details contained on RP-495-PILOT)

Date: $\quad 3 / 9 / 2017$
Taxing Jurisdiction: ALDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL

Fiscal Year Beginning: July 01, 2016

Total equalized value in taxing jurisdiction: $\quad$| $\$ 30,737,007$ |
| :---: |

TOWN OF BENNINGTON

| Exemption Code (Column A) | Exemption Description (Column B) | Statutory Authority (Column C) | Number of Exemptions (Column D) | Total <br> Equalized Value <br> (Column E) | Percentage of Value Exempted (Column F) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13500 | TOWN-GENERALLY | RPTL 406(1) | 1 | \$67,778 | 0.22 |
| 41120 | ALT VET EXWAR PERIOD-NON-COMB | RPTL 458-a | 14 | \$84,000 | 0.27 |
| 41130 | ALT VET EXWAR PERIOD COMBAT | RPTL 458-a | 8 | \$80,000 | 0.26 |
| 41140 | ALT VET EXWAR PERIOD-DISABILITY | RPTL 458-a | 5 | \$87,911 | 0.29 |
| 41300 | PARAPLEGIC VETS | RPTL 458(3) | 1 | \$264,222 | 0.86 |
| 41400 | CLERGY | RPTL 460 | 2 | \$6,666 | 0.02 |
| 41720 | AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT | AG-MKTS L 305 | 2 | \$76,524 | 0.25 |
| 41800 | PERSONS AGE 65 OR OVER | RPTL 467 | 1 | \$50,000 | 0.16 |
| 41804 | PERSONS AGE 65 OR OVER | RPTL 467 | 7 | \$500,913 | 1.63 |
| 41834 | ENHANCED STAR AGE | RPTL 425 | 36 | \$2,319,463 | 7.55 |
| 41854 | BASIC STAR 1999-2000 | RPTL 425 | 89 | \$2,670,000 | 8.69 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Totals | 166 | \$6,207,477 | 20.20 |

The exempt amounts do not take into consideration any payments for municipal services.
Amount, if any, attributed to payments in lieu of taxes: $\quad \$ 0.00$
(details contained on RP-495-PILOT)


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Include any prior year reserve for excess tax levy, including interest.
    ${ }^{2}$ Tax levy associated with educational or transportation services propositions are not eligible for exclusion under the School Tax Levy Limit and may affect voter approval requirements.
    ${ }^{3}$ For 2017-18, includes any carryover from 2016-17 and excludes any tax levy for library debt or prior year reserve for excess tax levy, including interest.

[^1]:     on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so the PI, EAMO, and Safe Harbor Target data are suppressed.
     another opportunity to meet the participation rate criterion.
    $\dagger$ Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30 .

[^2]:     on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so the PI, EAMO, and Safe Harbor Target data are suppressed.
     another opportunity to meet the participation rate criterion.
    $\dagger$ Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30 .

[^3]:     on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so the PI, EAMO, and Progress Target data are suppressed
     another opportunity to meet the participation rate criterion.
     determine a Performance Index.

[^4]:     Harbor Target data are suppressed.

[^5]:     Harbor Target data are suppressed.

[^6]:    - There were fewer than 30 students in the cohort.

